

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN
SOUTHERN DIVISION**

HUSCO AUTOMOTIVE, LLC, and
HUSCO AUTOMOTIVE HOLDINGS,
LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STACKPOLE INTERNATIONAL
ENGINEERED PRODUCTS, LTD.
d/b/a STACKPOLE INTERNATIONAL
and STACKPOLE INTERNATIONAL
POWDER METAL, LTD. d/b/a
STACKPOLE INTERNATIONAL,

Defendants.

Cynthia J. Haffey (P57352)
Mitchell S. Zajac (P82498)
150 West Jefferson, Suite 100
Detroit, MI 48226-4452
(313) 225-7000
haffey@butzel.com
zajac@butzel.com

Daniel G. Vivarelli, Jr. (DC No.
482467)
1909 K Street, N.W., Suite 860
Washington, DC 20006-1152
(202) 454-2800
vivarelli@butzel.com
Attorneys for Plaintiffs

R. Michael Azzi (P74508)
Matthew E. Sierawski (P83524)
Warner Norcross + Judd LLP
150 Ottawa Ave., NW, Suite 1500
Grand Rapids, Michigan 49503-2487
616.752.2000
mazzi@wnj.com
msierawski@wnj.com

Michael G. Brady (P57331)
Vito A. Ciaravino (P72352)
Warner Norcross + Judd LLP
2715 Woodward Ave., Suite 300
Detroit, MI 48223
313.546.6000
mbrady@wnj.com
vciaravino@wnj.com
Attorneys for Defendants

Case No. 2:23-cv-11345

Hon. Mark A. Goldsmith

Special Master Christopher G.
Darrow

STIPULATED ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE

Plaintiffs Husco Automotive LLC and Husco Automotive Holdings LLC (hereafter, “Plaintiffs” or “Husco”) and Defendants Johnson Electric Motion Technology Canada, Ltd. f/k/a Stackpole International Engineered Products, Ltd d/b/a Stackpole International and Johnson Electric Canada, Ltd. f/k/a Stackpole International Powder Metal, Ltd. d/b/a Stackpole International (hereafter “Stackpole”) having stipulated to dismiss this action, including all claims and defenses, with prejudice, with each party to bear its own attorneys’ fees and costs, having stipulated that the Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to address any issues concerning the enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and releases between the Parties, and having completed the terms of the preliminary settlement;

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this action is dismissed, for all claims and defenses, with prejudice, with each party to bear its own attorneys’ fees and costs.

IT IS HEREBY FURTHER ORDERED that this Court shall retain jurisdiction over this matter to address any issues concerning the enforcement of the Settlement Agreement and releases between the Parties.

This is the final order and this case is closed.

Dated: October 9, 2024

/s/Mark A. Goldsmith

Hon. Mark A. Goldsmith
U.S. District Court Judge